

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA,

Plaintiff,

V.

SIERRA COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
et. al.,

## Defendants.

Case Nos. [22-cv-5504-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5505-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5506-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5508-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5509-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5510-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5511-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5512-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5513-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5514-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5517-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5518-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5519-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5520-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5526-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5528-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5529-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5530-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5531-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5532-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5533-PJH](#)  
[22-cv-5561-PJH](#)

## ORDER DISMISSING MULTIPLE CASES WITH PREJUDICE

Plaintiff, a state prisoner, has filed multiple pro se civil rights complaints under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff is a condemned prisoner who also has a pending federal habeas petition in this court with appointed counsel. See *Bonilla v. Ayers*, Case No. 08-0471

1 YGR. Plaintiff is also represented by counsel in state court habeas proceedings. See *In*  
2 *re Bonilla*, Case No. 20-2986 PJH, Docket No. 1 at 7.

3 Plaintiff presents nearly identical claims in these actions. He names as  
4 defendants numerous county clerk's offices. He seeks relief regarding his underlying  
5 conviction or how his other cases were handled by the state and federal courts.

6 To the extent that plaintiff seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP) in these cases,  
7 he has been disqualified from proceeding IFP under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) unless he is  
8 "under imminent danger of serious physical injury" at the time he filed his complaint. 28  
9 U.S.C. 1915(g); *In re Steven Bonilla*, Case No. 11-3180 CW; *Bonilla v. Dawson*, Case  
10 No. 13-0951 CW.

11 The allegations in these complaints do not show that plaintiff was in imminent  
12 danger at the time of filing. Therefore, he may not proceed IFP. Moreover, even if an  
13 IFP application were granted, his lawsuits would be barred under *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512  
14 U.S. 477, 486-87 (1994), *Younger v. Harris*, 401 U.S. 37, 43-54 (1971), *Demos v. U.S.*  
15 *District Court*, 925 F.2d 1160, 1161-62 (9th Cir. 1991) or *Mullis v. U.S. Bankruptcy Court*,  
16 828 F.2d 1385, 1393 (9th Cir. 1987). Accordingly, the cases are dismissed with  
17 prejudice.

18 The clerk shall terminate all pending motions and close these cases. The clerk  
19 shall return, without filing, any further documents plaintiff submits in these closed cases.

20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21 Dated: October 5, 2022

23 /s/ Phyllis J. Hamilton  
24 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON  
25 United States District Judge  
26  
27  
28